IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

٧.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 3:05-CR-72-2 (BAILEY)

KATHY WATSON,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert. By Standing Order entered on June 2, 2008 [Doc. 153], this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Seibert for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his R & R on August 18, 2008 [Doc. 160]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court deny the defendant's May 27, 2008, letter which this Court will construe as a Motion for Community Corrections Placement Under the Second Chance Act [Doc. 150] because this Court lacks jurisdiction to do so.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140,

150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R & R were due within

ten (10) days of filing of this same, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P.

72(b). No objections to the R & R have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the

report and recommendation for clear error.

Accordingly, upon careful review of the report and recommendation [Doc. 60], it is

the opinion of this Court that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc.

160] should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in

the magistrate judge's report. As such, the defendant's motion [Doc. 150] is hereby

DENIED.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se defendant.

DATED: December 19, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE